APPENDIX B

Puget Sound Chinook Population Information

APPENDIX B – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE BIOLOGICAL AND HABITAT CHARACTERISTICS OF PUGET SOUND CHINOOK POPULATIONS, THEIR DISTRIBUTION, ASSOCIATED HATCHERY PROGRAMS

This technical appendix presents additional data referenced in Section 3, Affected Environment. Tables B-1 through B-3 provide more detailed descriptions of chinook salmon populations, and their riparian habitats, including age composition, stock origin, spawning and juvenile migration timing, spawning location, and barriers to migration. Table B-4 presents detailed information on hatchery production of chinook salmon in Puget Sound basins.

Table B-5 is adapted from the Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM), the basis for estimates of fishery exploitation on chinook salmon populations.

FRAM predicts point estimates for fishery impacts by stock, for specific time periods and age classes. The model simulates chinook salmon fisheries over the course of one year. Fishery harvest rates and stock exploitation rates are predicted using "base period" coded-wire tag recovery data on chinook harvest by fishery. Chinook FRAM currently includes 32 stocks, representing Puget Sound, Columbia River, Oregon and Canadian chinook salmon. The model includes fisheries operating in southeast Alaska, Canada, Puget Sound, and off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California. Only Puget Sound chinook salmon stocks are presented in the summary table in this appendix.

Table B-6 summarizes data used to show the distribution of fishery mortality on Puget Sound chinook salmon populations. As discussed in Section 3, these impacts are estimated from recoveries of codedwire tagged indicator stocks that are released from numerous locations throughout Puget Sound.

Appendix Table B-1a. Key life history traits of chinook salmon in the northern Puget Sound area of the affected environment.

RMP Management Unit	Population	Recover y Categor y	Race	Origin	Productio n Type	Juvenile Migration	Age of Smolts (% age 0 or 1)	Age @ Spawning (% return of given age))	Up-Stream Migration Timing (month. week)	Spawn Timing (month. week)
								1		3		5		
Nooksack Early	NF Nooksack	1	Sp	N	С		≥90	≤10	<1	4	75	20	3.4-7.3	7.4-9.4
	SF Nooksack	1	Sp	N	W		≤69	≥31	1	10	61	28	3.4-7.4	8.1-10.1
Skagit									10	73	2			
Spring	Upper Sauk	1	Sp	N	W	May-June	55	45					4.2-7.1	7.2-9.4
	Suiattle Spr	1	Sp	N	W	May -June	18-53	47-82	1	8	43	47	4.2-7.1	7.2-9.4
	Upper Cascade	1	Sp	N	W	May- June							4.2-7.1	7.2-9.4
Skagit														
Summer / Fall	Lower Sauk	1	Su	N	W								6.2-8.1	8.2-10.2
	Upper Skagit MS / Tribs.	1	Su	N	W								6.1-8.1	8.2-10.2
Otilla maniala	Lower Skagit MS / Tribs.	1	Fa	N	W								7.1-9.1	9.2-10.4
Stillaguamish Summer / Fall	Ctilloguamich	1	Su	N	С	Mar-June	97	3	4	30	59	7	6.1-8.1	8.2-10.1
Summer / Fair	Stillaguamish			1	1	iviai-Jurie	97	3	4	30	59	1		
	Stillaguamish	1	Fa	Unk.	W								8.4	9.1-10.4
Snohomish	Snohomish	1	1	Su-Fa	N	W	Apr-July						8.1-9.1	9.2-11.2
Summer / Fall	Wallace R.	2	2	Su - Fa	М	С								
	Bridal Veil Cr.	1	1	Fa	N	W	Apr-July							

Abbreviations: Population NF = North Fork SF = South Fork **Recovery Category** 1= Genetically unique indigenous population present. 2 = Indigenous population no longer present but natural production possible. 3. No historically self-sustaining natural population **Race** Sp = Spring Su = Summer Fa = Fall **Origin** N = Natural C = Composite of Hatchery and Natural. **Production Type** W = Wild C = Composite of Hatchery and Wild **Status** C= Critical D = Depressed H = Healthy U = Unknown

Sources: Stock Origin: Washington Department of Fisheries, 1993. Smolt Migration:, Appendix A Myers et al. 1998. Age at Smolting, Appendix A Myers et al.; Age at Maturation, Appendix B Myers et al. 1998; Fresh Water Entry: Table 1 Myers et al. 1998.; Spawn Timing: Table 1 Myers et al. 1998 Spawning Location / Description: Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2003; Washington Department of Fisheries, 1993. Note: Spawners have been transported above Sunset Falls, a natural barrier, since 1958.

Appendix Table B-2a. Factors limiting natural chinook production in Puget Sound watersheds.

Basin / Stock Group	Status		Habitat Facto	ors Affecting Stock	Status	
		Dams (River Mile Location / Miles Habitat Lost)	Riparian Habitat	Flow / Water Temp	Estuary Habitat	Hatchery Influence
Nooksack						
NF Nooksack Early	Critical		1		1	4
SF Nooksack Early	Critical		1, 2		1	
Skagit		RM 97 / Unknown				
Upper Skagit Summer	Healthy		1, 2		2	
Lower Skagit Fall	Depressed		2		2	2
Lower Sauk Summer	Depressed		1		2	3
Upper Sauk Spring	Healthy		1		2	2
Suiattle Spring	Depressed		1		2	
Upper Cascade Spring	Unknown				2	
Stillaguamish						
Stillaguamish Summer	Depressed		1, 2		2	4
Stillaguamish Fall	Depressed		1, 2		2	
Snohomish						
Snohomish Summer	Depressed	Sultan River RM 17 / 20	1, 2		1	
Wallace Summer / Fall	Healthy				1	1
Snohomish Fall	Depressed		1, 2		1	
Bridal Veil Creek Fall	Unknown		1, 2		1	

Notes	Sources
Dams: Location of Dam (Rivermile) / estimated miles of lost spawning habitat.	S.P. Cramer and Associates 1999.
Riparian Habitat: Riparian habitat affected by: Logging and associated road building including loss of large woody debris, siltation, and erosion 2. Diking and channel modification 3. Other land development practices and agriculture.	Pacific Fishery Management Council 1999. Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife 2003. Washington Department of Fisheries 1993.
Flow / Water Temperature: 1. Loss of habitat from water diversions, dewatering of spawning redds; 2. Elevated stream temperatures from low flows due to diversion or runoff modification.	Pacific Fishery Management Council 1999. Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife 2003. Washington Department of Fisheries 1993.
Estuary Habitat: Habitat loss or degradation due to: 1. port or industrial development 2. Agriculture, forestry, or urbanization.	Pacific Fishery Management Council 1999. Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife 2003. Washington Department of Fisheries 1993. S.P. Cramer and Associates 1999.
Hatchery Influence: 1. Production hatchery using out-of-basin stock; 2. Production hatchery using within-basin stock; 3. Supplementation hatchery or indicator stock program; 4. Supplementation hatchery essential for recovery.	Pacific Fishery Management Council 1999. Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife 2003. Washington Department of Fisheries 1993.

Appendix Table B-2b.Factors limiting natural chinook production in Puget Sound watersheds.

Basin / Stock Group	Status		Habitat Factors Affecting Stock Status									
		Dams (River Mile Location / Miles Habitat Lost)	Riparian Habitat	Flow / Water Temp	Estuary Habitat	Hatchery Influence						
Puyallup												
White Spring	Critical	RM 23.4	1, 2, 3	1	1	4						
White Summer / Fall	Unknown		1, 2, 3	1	1							
Puyallup Summer / Fall	Unknown	RM 41.7 / 10			1	1						
Nisqually												
Nisqually Summer / Fall	Healthy	RM 26 / RM 43 / 30			1	1						
South Sound												
South Sound Tributaries Summer / Fall	Healthy				1	1						
Hood Canal												
Hood Canal Summer / Fall	Healthy		1,2,3									
Skokomish River		RM 21 / 13	1	1		1 (mixed origin)						
Juan de Fuca Strait												
Dungeness Spring / Summer	Critical		1,2,3	1,2		4						
Elwha / Morse Creek Summer / Fall	Healthy	RM 4.9 and 13.4 / 35 main and 35 tributaries	1	2		2						

Notes	Sources
Dams: Location of Dam (Rivermile) / estimated miles of lost spawning habitat.	S.P. Cramer and Associates. 1999
Riparian Habitat: Riparian habitat affected by: Logging and associated road building including loss of large woody debris, siltation, and erosion 2. Diking and channel modification 3. Other land development practices and agriculture.	Pacific Fishery Management Council 1999. Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife 2003. Washington Department of Fisheries 1993.
Flow / Water Temperature: 1. Loss of habitat from water diversions, dewatering of spawning redds; 2. Elevated stream temperatures from low flows due to diversion or runoff modification.	Pacific Fishery Management Council 1999. Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2003. Washington Department of Fisheries 1993.
Estuary Habitat: Habitat loss or degradation due to: 1. port or industrial development 2. Agriculture, forestry, or urbanization.	Pacific Fishery Management Council 1999. Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2003. Washington Department of Fisheries 1993. S.P. Cramer and Associates 1999.
Hatchery Influence: 1. Production hatchery using out-of-basin stock; 2. Production hatchery using within-basin stock; 3. Supplementation hatchery or indicator stock program; 4. Supplementation hatchery essential for recovery.	Pacific Fishery Management Council 1999. Puget Sound Indian Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife 2003. Washington Department of Fisheries 1993.

Appendix Table B-3a. Hydrological and spawning area profiles of chinook spawning basins in northern Puget Sound.

Watershed Tributary	Area (mi2)	Avg. Elev. (ft.)	Chinook Spawning Tributaries	Spawning Miles Used	Upstream Migration Barriers				
Nooksack	795	2208		90.6	Barrier	RM	Passage		
NF Nooksack			Boulder, Canyon, Cornell, Deadhorse, Glacier, Kendall, Maple and Racehorse Creek	49.8	Nooksack Falls	65	No		
SF Nooksack			Hutchinson and Skookum Creek	40.8					
MF Nooksack				7.0	Bellingham Water Diversion	7.2	No		
Skagit									
Lower Skagit	447	1128	Bacon, Carpenter, Day, Diobsud, Finney, Goodell, Illabot, Jackman, Jones, Mannser, Morgan, Nookachamps Creek; Baker River, McLeod Slough	53.4	Lower Baker Lk. Upper Baker Lk.	1.1 9.3	T&H		
Sauk	741	3726	Suiattle, N.F. Sauk, South Fork Sauk, Whitechuck River; Clear and Dan Creek	97.8					
Suiattle	346		Big, Buck, Downey, Lime, Milk, Straight, Sulphur, and Tenas Creek	42.7					
Upper Skagit	1630 ¹	4002	Goodell, and Illabot Creek; Cascade River	51.4	Gorge	96.6	No		
Stillaguamish	704	1792		132.8					
NF Stillaguamish	284			40.3					
SF Stillaguamish	255		Canyon and Jim Creek	46.2					
Snohomish	278	518							
Skykomish	853	2769	Sultan and Wallace R., Proctor, Deer, Elwell and Woods Cr.	159.6	Sultan R. Water Diversion	9.7	No		
NF Skykomish	147		GI.	14.0	Diversion	9.7	INO		
SF Skykomish	362		Foss, Miller and Beckler River; Money and Bridal Veil Cr.	44.0	Sunset Falls		Yes		
Snoqualmie	693	2136	Raging and Tolt River; Tokul Creek		Snoqualmie Falls				
					Tolt R. S. Fk	8.4	No		

Sources: **Area and Elevation**; USGS data from University of Montana Environment Statistics Group, Hydrological Research Project (website). **Spawning Tributaries and Use**; S.P. Cramer and Associates, 1999. Washington Department of Fisheries, 1993; **Migration Barriers**; S.P. Cramer and Associates, 1999. Myers et al. 1998.

Appendix Table B-3b.Hydrological and spawning area profiles of chinook spawning basins in southern Puget Sound, Hood Canal and Strait of Juan de Fuca.

Watershed Tributary	Area (mi2)	Avg. Elev. (ft.)	Chinook Spawning Tributaries	Spawning Miles Used	Upstream Migrati	ion Barrie	rs
Lake Washington	619	898			Barrier	RM	Passage
· ·		000	Issaquah Creek and Northern Tributaries	116.6	Ballard Locks	0	Yes
Cedar River	188			22.6	Landsburg Diversion	21.3	No
Duwamish / Green	487	1671	Soos, Crisp, May and Newaukum Creek	110.8	Tacoma Water Diversion	60.3	No
Puyallup Carbon White	996	2892	Clark, Fennel and Kapowsin Creek South Prairie and Voight Creek Clearwater, Greenwater and West Fork White River; Boise and Blueberry Creek	146.8 31.5 72.3	Electron Diversion Buckley Diversion	41.8	No T&H
			and bideberry Creek	12.3	Mud Mountain	29.7	T&H
Nisqually	726	1778	Mashel River; Ohop and Yelm Creek	87.5	Yelm Diversion La Grande	26.2 42.5	Ladder No
S. Sound Tribs Deschutes	168	829		44.5			
Skokomish NF Skokomish SF Skokomish	248	1896		51.5 29.0 10.5	Cushman No.2	17.3	No
Hood Canal	957	2333	Anderson, Big Beef, Eagle, Fulton, Lilliwaup, Misson, Stavis, and Tarboo Cr.; Big Quilcene, Dewatto, Dosewalips, Duckabush, Hamma Hamma, Little Quilcene, Tahuya and Union River	44.7			
Strait of Juan de Fuca Dungeness / Elwha Dungeness Elwha	1270 198 321	2674	Canyon Creek; Graywolf River	31.0 9.9	Elwha Glines Canyon	4.9 13.5	

Sources: **Area and Elevation:** USGS data from University of Montana Environment Statistics Group, Hydrological Research Project (website). **Spawning Tributaries and Use:** S.P. Cramer and Associates, 1999. Washington Department of Fisheries, 1993; **Migration Barriers:** S.P. Cramer and Associates, 1999. Myers et al. 1998.

Appendix Table B-4. Releases of juvenile hatchery chinook in Puget Sound 1991–2000 (thousands of fish).

		1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	Grand Total
S. Puget Sound Tribs	Fall Spring	19,441 -	18,964	15,832	7,643 339	16,917 337	15,675 341	15,054 343	18,217 339	16,983 203	20,483 371	165,209 2,273
Duwamish	Fall	12,149	5,302	6,067	4,424	7,915	5,886	6,403	4,786	4,348	3,971	61,250
Nooksack	Fall Spring	5,990 355	9,030 181	5,889 887	7,156 1,391	7,221 741	6,353 189	4,284 841	2,166 1,488	1,800 2,307	1,200 1,712	51,089 10,093
Hood Canal Nisqually	Fall Spring Fall	1,779 422 2,902	2,213 249 1,742	1,257 269 1,063	863 334 1,796	1,862 149 2,957	3,768 154 2,847	5,265 114 4,239	3,959 - 3,605	3,980 - 4,342	3,402 - 4,277	28,348 1,692 29,770
Other Puget Sound	Fall Spring Summer	3,491 - -	2,041 - -	2,357 - -	1,995 - -	1,935 35 -	2,717 37 -	3,507 30 -	2,576 41 -	2,704 119 117	2,859 46 185	26,181 309 303
Lake Washington	Fall	4,357	2,910	2,186	2,031	2,401	2,394	2,073	2,930	2,374	1,689	25,344
Elwha	Fall	2,622	3,967	632	1,955	2,443	2,579	2,375	2,176	4,025	1,803	24,577
Puyallup	Fall	3,275	2,008	2,829	2,207	3,059	2,757	1,899	1,978	2,012	2,006	24,029
Snohomish	Fall Spring Summer	915 - 212	430 - 305	294 - 618	709 - 1,004	1,468 - 281	1,361 - 1,196	1,376 102 1,390	- 355 1,450	- - 778	- - 2,224	6,552 457 9,457
Skagit Strait of Georgia	Fall Spring Summer Fall	1,145 419 305 555	786 285 986 412	1,839 642 583 420	- 1,043 417 1,379	- 503 192 1,375	- 484 138 965	100 380 23 1,005	388 202 2,105	6 394 246 998	32 398 - -	3,908 4,935 3,092 9,215
White R.	Spring	451	1,115	1,027	789	728	836	867	1,107	395	684	8,001
Dungeness	Spring	-	-	-	-	-	18	1,776	2,050	1,775	1,501	7,121
Skokomish	Fall	198	1,713	294	-	-	348	96	312	234	-	3,195
W. Strait	Fall	194	223	191	235	326	319	83	240	186	279	2,277
Stillaguamish	Summer	-	202	100	235	344	35	218	95	-	367	1,596
Grand Total		61,178	55,063	45,275	37,943	53,190	51,397	53,845	52,565	50,328	49,489	510,272

Source: Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission Regional Mark Information Service Database, December, 2002.

Appendix Table B-5. Summary of chinook exploitation rates from Fishery Regulation Assessment Model Runs (2002 Validation)

				Tota	I Adult Ed	uivalen	t Mortality: /	All Fisheries					
	Skagit	Stillaguamish	Snohomish	Nooksack	Skagit	White	Nooksack	Hood Canal	JDF Tribs	Lake	Green	Puyallup	Nisqually
	S/F Nat \1	S/F	S/F Nat \1	Early \2	Spr Nat	Spr \3	S/F	S/F	S/F	Washington	River	River	River
1983	78%	73%	73%	49%	75%	59%	91%	81%	80%	82%	86%	81%	102%
1984	71%	61%	63%	43%	63%	41%	89%	69%	57%	76%	57%	68%	92%
1985	65%	46%	55%	43%	58%	33%	85%	70%	68%	79%	75%	76%	88%
1986	59%	62%	60%	43%	56%	44%	89%	82%	88%	69%	58%	70%	90%
1987	60%	47%	47%	42%	62%	35%	88%	84%	71%	79%	53%	82%	106%
1988	58%	57%	66%	50%	59%	35%	90%	75%	71%	87%	63%	77%	85%
1989	71%	47%	52%	37%	75%	36%	79%	77%	85%	77%	61%	72%	91%
1990	50%	47%	49%	32%	50%	33%	74%	71%	75%	69%	71%	66%	85%
1991	53%	38%	52%	36%	66%	48%	81%	70%	58%	82%	65%	66%	81%
1992	63%	42%	61%	34%	57%	32%	73%	79%	57%	81%	75%	68%	86%
1993	65%	28%	61%	30%	46%	24%	67%	63%	70%	61%	74%	70%	82%
1994	57%	29%	49%	28%	51%	49%	80%	69%	62%	38%	68%	69%	96%
1995	60%	43%	64%	24%	47%	34%	71%	37%	39%	31%	37%	76%	89%
1996	30%	34%	42%	18%	45%	33%	54%	33%	41%	28%	42%	67%	86%
1997	37%	31%	29%	22%	42%	22%	63%	40%	32%	29%	31%	60%	76%
1998	23%	15%	24%	15%	28%	19%	84%	16%	46%	15%	30%	35%	78%
1999	33%	20%	31%	17%	21%	28%	51%	48%	18%	20%	29%	74%	80%
2000	24%	27%	26%	17%	31%	19%	64%	51%	34%	42%	51%	72%	68%

¹ Only the portion of Skagit and Snohomish fingerling and yearling stocks representing wild chinook are presented in this table.

Source: Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission

^{2 &}quot;Nooksack Early" stock comprises an aggregation of North Fork and South Fork Early ("Spring" or "Native") stocks.

^{3 &}quot;White River Spring" stock is represented by fingerlings originating from the White River.

Appendix Table B-6. Percent of harvest mortality occuring on Puget Sound chinook indicator stocks by fishing area.

						Catch					
Stock	Fishing Area	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Hood Canal Fall	Alaska	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	2.3%	0.7%
(George Adams Hatchery)	Canada	30.7%	25.1%	54.3%	22.3%	41.0%	51.5%	25.5%	6.7%	26.5%	61.4%
	U.S. Troll	10.0%	21.7%	9.4%	0.0%	1.4%	14.1%	8.0%	5.0%	12.0%	5.9%
	U.S. Net	36.5%	8.9%	5.2%	44.4%	7.6%	0.0%	2.1%	5.5%	30.0%	0.0%
	U.S. Sport	22.7%	44.3%	31.1%	33.2%	50.0%	34.4%	64.4%	77.6%	29.1%	32.0%
Nisqually Fall	Alaska	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
	Canada	21.7%	23.4%	34.6%	13.9%	19.6%	9.2%	12.8%	6.3%	10.3%	29.6%
	U.S. Troll	20.1%	9.7%	4.7%	0.9%	3.8%	2.4%	1.3%	1.4%	5.4%	2.0%
	U.S. Net	24.3%	26.1%	30.2%	26.3%	39.9%	52.6%	29.8%	51.9%	48.5%	40.5%
	U.S. Sport	33.9%	40.8%	30.5%	59.0%	36.7%	35.4%	55.0%	40.1%	35.3%	27.5%
Nooksack Spring	Alaska	0.0%	4.9%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
	Canada	75.6%	73.8%	66.7%	79.5%	62.9%	77.4%	48.3%	79.6%	74.2%	87.0%
	U.S. Troll	3.5%	1.9%	1.4%	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	0.0%
	U.S. Net	11.1%	0.7%	9.3%	11.4%	7.7%	0.0%	6.4%	1.8%	9.0%	0.0%
	U.S. Sport	9.8%	18.7%	22.6%	7.6%	29.4%	20.8%	45.2%	18.5%	9.0%	8.7%
Samish Fall	Alaska	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%	1.6%	5.1%	5.4%	0.0%
	Canada	43.3%	38.7%	58.8%	45.3%	27.5%	18.4%	25.9%	22.4%	37.2%	91.4%
	U.S. Troll	12.5%	12.8%	5.1%	2.8%	4.8%	2.5%	1.7%	1.2%	2.5%	1.2%
	U.S. Net	28.5%	18.2%	19.2%	45.7%	35.1%	43.1%	52.7%	63.3%	49.1%	7.4%
	U.S. Sport	15.7%	30.3%	16.8%	5.6%	32.3%	35.9%	18.2%	7.9%	5.9%	0.0%
Skagit Spring	Alaska							0.4%	1.5%	2.3%	1.6%
	Canada							51.7%	48.0%	49.2%	62.0%
	U.S. Troll							0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
	U.S. Net							2.6%	5.3%	3.4%	2.5%
	U.S. Sport							45.3%	45.2%	44.4%	33.9%
Sosuthern Puget Sound Fall	Alaska	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	1.6%	5.3%	2.1%	1.3%
•	Canada	29.7%	33.0%	40.6%	36.9%	30.7%	23.5%	33.5%	16.8%	27.0%	43.0%
	U.S. Troll	16.4%	11.0%	7.9%	1.3%	4.2%	8.9%	5.3%	4.2%	11.6%	0.8%
	U.S. Net	33.8%	25.6%	20.2%	29.2%	16.1%	17.3%	8.7%	29.4%	31.9%	23.8%
	U.S. Sport	19.5%	29.4%	30.8%	32.6%	48.5%	49.7%	50.9%	44.3%	27.4%	31.0%
Stillaguamish Fall	Alaska	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	4.1%	2.0%	20.4%	48.5%	7.4%	30.6%
•	Canada	41.1%	35.3%	54.9%	66.6%	52.8%	50.0%	41.0%	32.4%	73.1%	60.7%
	U.S. Troll	15.3%	6.3%	8.6%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
	U.S. Net	17.3%	12.4%	2.0%		3.5%	0.4%	3.4%		1.4%	2.2%
	U.S. Sport	25.8%	46.0%	34.4%	19.3%	38.1%	47.6%	35.2%	12.1%	18.0%	3.8%
White River Spring	Alaska	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Canada	3.5%	12.8%	2.8%	4.9%	1.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	16.6%
	U.S. Troll	6.6%	4.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	U.S. Net	15.6%	11.0%	6.7%	2.8%	2.1%	0.6%	6.6%	3.8%	0.0%	8.4%
	U.S. Sport	74.3%	72.2%	84.5%	92.3%	96.5%	97.2%	93.4%	92.3%	92.7%	75.0%

Source: Pacific Salmon Commission 2002.